

<b>Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary</b>	<b>Application No.</b> 09/709,758	<b>Applicant(s)</b> ABRAMOV ET AL.	
	<b>Examiner</b> Blane J. Jackson	<b>Art Unit</b> 2618	

**All Participants:**

**Status of Application:** open

(1) Blane J. Jackson.

(3) \_\_\_\_\_.

(2) Reichard E. Campbell, #34,790.

(4) \_\_\_\_\_.

**Date of Interview:** 7 July 2006

**Time:** 17:30 EST

**Type of Interview:**

- ☒ Telephonic  
☐ Video Conference  
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

**Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated:** ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

**Part I.**

**Rejection(s) discussed:**

*FAOM after RCE.*

**Claims discussed:**

*42, 67, 76, 82 and 83*

**Prior art documents discussed:**

*Johansson and Kohno et al. (US 6,522,898*

**Part II.**

**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

*See Continuation Sheet*

**Part III.**

- ☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.  
☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Examiner/SPE Signature)

\_\_\_\_\_  
(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Initially, claim 67 was discussed lacking a transmit step since it was intended for another embodiment. Then, new prior art, Kohno was investigated in view of the claims. It was agreed that an examiner's amendment with changes agreed by the attorney would place the application in condition for allowance..